10590072.1

MOTION TO WITHDRAW REFERENCE

Case 3:07-cv-02943-PJH Document 2 Filed 06/07/2007 Page 1 of 3

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
	0
1	
1	1
1 1 1	1
1 1 1	1 2 3
1 1 1 1	1 2 3 4
1 1 1 1	1 2 3 4 5
1 1 1 1 1	1 2 3 4 5 6

JOHN M. BRYAN, JOHN M. AND FLORENCE E. BRYAN TRUST, J.M. BRYAN FAMILY TRUST, KULWINDER SIDHU, DEVINDER SIDHU, PACIFIC PARAGON INVESTMENT FUND LTD, a British Columbia company, HARRY CHEW, and AIC CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC, a California limited liability company

Defendants.

JOHN M. BRYAN, JOHN M. AND FLORENCE E. BRYAN TRUST, J.M. BRYAN FAMILY TRUST,

Defendants/Cross-Claimants,

KULWINDER SIDHU, DEVINDER SIDHU, PACIFIC PARAGON INVESTMENT FUND LTD, a British Columbia company, HARRY CHEW, AIC CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC, a California limited liability company, and LAMINAR DIRECT CAPITAL, L.P., a Texas limited partnership

Defendants/Cross-Defendants.

The Motion of Defendants John M. Bryan, John M. and Florence E. Bryan Trust (the "JFB Trust"), and J.M. Bryan Family Trust (the "JMB Trust") (collectively, the "Bryan Defendants") to withdraw the reference respecting the above lawsuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(d) and Bankruptcy Local Rule 5011-2 respectfully represents as follows:

21

22

20

1. The JFB Trust has a right to trial by jury in the District Court. Withdrawal of the reference is appropriate in order to preserve and protect that right.

23

24

2. The Bankruptcy Court has indicated that in response it might sever parties and bifurcate the lawsuit into identical halves, one including and one excluding the JFB Trust. Such severance is inappropriate because:

25 26

If principles of issue preclusion are applied, the Bankruptcy Court would a. control the result of the District Court litigation.

28

27

- b. If principles of issue preclusion are not applied, the parties face the prospect of inconsistent results in the two trials.
- c. In any event, the parties and the Courts will face the burden, expense and delay of conducting two substantially identical trial among the same parties and about the same operative facts.

Withdrawal of the reference is appropriate to prevent such severance and bifurcation.

3. This Motion shall be supported by the instant Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion to Withdraw the Reference, the Declaration of John M. Bryan in Support of Motion to Withdraw the Reference, the Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Motion to Withdraw the Reference and such other and further evidence and argument as may be presented at or before the hearing hereon.

Respectfully submitted,

ST. JAMES LAW, P.C.

NIXON PEABODY LLP

DATED: May 23, 2007 By: /s/ Michael St. James

Michael St. James
Glenn E. Westreich
Rosalyn P. Mitchell
Attorneys for Defendants/Cross-Claimants
JOHN M. BRYAN, JOHN M. AND

JOHN M. BRYAN, JOHN M. AND FLORENCE E. BRYAN TRUST, J.M. BRYAN FAMILY TRUST